Formal Verification of Cyber-Physical Systems

Pavithra Prabhakar

IMDEA Software Institute

Cyber-Physical Systems Summer School EIT ICT Labs - PERSYVAL Lab Grenoble, France July 09, 2013

Cyber-Physical Systems





Computation

Control

Communication









Cyber-Physical Systems













Wednesday, July 17, 2013

Recalls due to Software Bugs

February 6, 2010:

Toyota recalls 133,000 Prius vehicles in the US and 52, 000 in Europe to fix problems with its anti-lock brake software





1990-2000:

200,000 devices affected due to safety recalls of pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators due to firmware problems.

Grand Challenge: Development of highconfidence Cyber-Physical Systems



• Model the plant



- Model the plant
- Synthesize the controller



- Model the plant
- Synthesize the controller
- Simulate / Verify



- Model the plant
- Synthesize the controller
- Simulate / Verify
- Implement



- Model the plant
- Synthesize the controller
- Simulate / Verify
- Implement



Elimination of errors early in the design, resulting in more robust control system, fewer iterations in the development cycle and reduced development time and cost.





• Automated synthesis: Correct-by-construction



- Automated synthesis: Correct-by-construction
- Automated methods for detecting presence / absence of errors



- Automated synthesis: Correct-by-construction
- Automated methods for detecting presence / absence of errors
 - Simulation / Testing



- Automated synthesis: Correct-by-construction
- Automated methods for detecting presence / absence of errors
 - Simulation / Testing
 - Verification

Verification of Cyber-Physical Systems

Hybrid Systems

Systems consisting of mixed discrete-continuous behaviors



Highlights

- Modeling and specification
- Overview of safety verification
- Overview of stability verification
- Complexity and computability
- Approximation techniques
- Tools

• Hybrid Automata [Henzinger et al.]

- Hybrid Automata [Henzinger et al.]
 - Continuous dynamics: Differential equations

- Hybrid Automata [Henzinger et al.]
 - Continuous dynamics: Differential equations
 - Discrete dynamics: Finite state automata

- Hybrid Automata [Henzinger et al.]
 - Continuous dynamics: Differential equations
 - Discrete dynamics: Finite state automata
- Extensions of process algebra and petri-nets, differential dynamic logic















Safety

Safety

• Every execution of the system is error free

Safety

- Every execution of the system is error free
- The car does not go out of the road

Safety

- Every execution of the system is error free
- The car does not go out of the road

Stability
Properties

Safety

- Every execution of the system is error free
- The car does not go out of the road

Stability

• Small perturbations in the initial state or input lead to only small perturbations in the eventual behavior of the system

Properties

Safety

- Every execution of the system is error free
- The car does not go out of the road

Stability

- Small perturbations in the initial state or input lead to only small perturbations in the eventual behavior of the system
- Small perturbations in the initial orientation of the car will still keep it inside the road

Overview of safety verification





• Exhaustive state space exploration



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors
- Terminates in a finite number of steps



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors
- Terminates in a finite number of steps



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors
- Terminates in a finite number of steps



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors
- Terminates in a finite number of steps



- Exhaustive state space exploration
- Compute one step-successors
- Terminates in a finite number of steps





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity



- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity





- Continuous dynamics constant derivative
- Invariants and guards linear
- Resets identity







- One-step successor :
 - states reached by time evolution or discrete transition



$$Succ_C(X_0, x) := \exists t, x_0 \in X_0,$$
$$x = x_0 + at,$$
$$\forall 0 \le t' \le t, x_0 + at' \in Inv$$

- One-step successor :
 - states reached by time evolution or discrete transition



$$Succ_C(X_0, x) := \exists t, x_0 \in X_0,$$
$$x = x_0 + at,$$
$$\forall 0 \le t' \le t, x_0 + at' \in Inv$$

- One-step successor :
 - states reached by time evolution or discrete transition

$$Succ_D(X_0, x) := \exists x_0 \in X_0,$$

 $x_0 \in Guard, (x_0, x) \in Reset$



$$Succ_C(X_0, x) := \exists t, x_0 \in X_0,$$
$$x = x_0 + at,$$
$$\forall 0 \le t' \le t, x_0 + at' \in Inv$$

- One-step successor :
 - states reached by time evolution or discrete transition
- first order logic formula with addition

$$Succ_D(X_0, x) := \exists x_0 \in X_0,$$

 $x_0 \in Guard, (x_0, x) \in Reset$



$$Succ_C(X_0, x) := \exists t, x_0 \in X_0,$$
$$x = x_0 + at,$$
$$\forall 0 \le t' \le t, x_0 + at' \in Inv$$

- One-step successor :
 - states reached by time evolution or discrete transition
- first order logic formula with addition
- polyhedral set

$$Succ_D(X_0, x) := \exists x_0 \in X_0,$$

 $x_0 \in Guard, (x_0, x) \in Reset$

 $Reach^{0}(X_{0}) = X_{0}$ $Reach^{i+1}(X_{0}) = Reach^{i}(X_{0}) \cup Succ_{D}(Succ_{C}(Reach^{i}(X_{0})))$

 $Reach^{0}(X_{0}) = X_{0}$ $Reach^{i+1}(X_{0}) = Reach^{i}(X_{0}) \cup Succ_{D}(Succ_{C}(Reach^{i}(X_{0})))$

• Iterate till termination - need a check for termination - equivalence between sets

 $Reach^{0}(X_{0}) = X_{0}$ $Reach^{i+1}(X_{0}) = Reach^{i}(X_{0}) \cup Succ_{D}(Succ_{C}(Reach^{i}(X_{0})))$

• Iterate till termination - need a check for termination - equivalence between sets



 $Reach^{0}(X_{0}) = X_{0}$ $Reach^{i+1}(X_{0}) = Reach^{i}(X_{0}) \cup Succ_{D}(Succ_{C}(Reach^{i}(X_{0})))$

• Iterate till termination - need a check for termination - equivalence between sets



 Terminates for some subclasses - Timed automata [Lecture on Friday -David & Larsen]

 $Reach^{0}(X_{0}) = X_{0}$ $Reach^{i+1}(X_{0}) = Reach^{i}(X_{0}) \cup Succ_{D}(Succ_{C}(Reach^{i}(X_{0})))$

 Iterate till termination - need a check for termination - equivalence between sets



- Terminates for some subclasses Timed automata [Lecture on Friday -David & Larsen]
- Reach set up to a given bound on the number of discrete transitions can be computed

Polyhedral Linear Systems
• Generalized to polyhedral hybrid systems

- Generalized to polyhedral hybrid systems
 - Invariants, Guards linear constraints

- Generalized to polyhedral hybrid systems
 - Invariants, Guards linear constraints
 - Resets linear map

- Generalized to polyhedral hybrid systems
 - Invariants, Guards linear constraints
 - Resets linear map
 - Dynamics linear constraint over dotted variables

- Generalized to polyhedral hybrid systems
 - Invariants, Guards linear constraints
 - Resets linear map
 - Dynamics linear constraint over dotted variables
- Reachability analysis tools: HYTECH, PHAVER

• Effective representation of one step continuous successor

• Effective representation of one step continuous successor

• Intersection with guards and resets

- Effective representation of one step continuous successor
- Intersection with guards and resets
- Emptiness checking after intersection with the unsafe set

- Effective representation of one step continuous successor
- Intersection with guards and resets
- Emptiness checking after intersection with the unsafe set

- Sets represents by polyhedral sets or formulas over first-order logic
- Emptiness checking reduces to satisfiability problem of the logic
 - Satisfiability of first order logic with addition and multiplication is decidable.

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution $x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = ae^{at}x(0) = ax(t)$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = ae^{at}x(0) = ax(t)$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{\bar{x}}(t) = A\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_0 \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = ae^{at}x(0) = ax(t)$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{\bar{x}}(t) = A\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_0 \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Closed form solution $\bar{x}(t) = e^{At}\bar{x}(0)$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = ae^{at}x(0) = ax(t)$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{\bar{x}}(t) = A\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_0 \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Closed form solution $\bar{x}(t) = e^{At}\bar{x}(0)$

$$e^y = 1 + y + \frac{y^2}{2!} + \frac{y^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$

Closed form solution

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}x(t) = ae^{at}x(0) = ax(t)$$

Linear Dynamical System $\dot{\bar{x}}(t) = A\bar{x}(t), \bar{x}_0 \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ Closed form solution $\bar{x}(t) = e^{At}\bar{x}(0)$

$$e^y = 1 + y + \frac{y^2}{2!} + \frac{y^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

$$e^B = 1 + B + \frac{B^2}{2!} + \frac{B^3}{3!} + \cdots$$

• Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.

- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states

- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision

- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



- Satisfiability is not known for first-order logic with exponentiation.
- Approximation of the successor states
- Assumption: Matrix exponential can be computed with arbitrary precision



• Complexity of further verification

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals
 - The data structure enclosing each step

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals
 - The data structure enclosing each step
- Data structures
- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals
 - The data structure enclosing each step
- Data structures
 - Polyhedra [Dang,Maler], [Chutinan, Krogh], Ellipsoids [Kurzhanski, Varaiya], Zonotopes [Girard, Guernic]

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals
 - The data structure enclosing each step
- Data structures
 - Polyhedra [Dang,Maler], [Chutinan, Krogh], Ellipsoids [Kurzhanski, Varaiya], Zonotopes [Girard, Guernic]
- Varying time step algorithms

- Complexity of further verification
 - Intersection computation and emptiness checking
 - Size and shape of the sets
 - Number of intervals
 - The data structure enclosing each step
- Data structures
 - Polyhedra [Dang,Maler], [Chutinan, Krogh], Ellipsoids [Kurzhanski, Varaiya], Zonotopes [Girard, Guernic]
- Varying time step algorithms
 - Approximate flow computation [P,Viswanathan],SpaceEx[Frehse et al.]













What about non-linear systems?

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$
$$x \in X_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

What about non-linear systems?

$$\dot{x} = f(x)$$
$$x \in X_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

Closed form of the solutions do not exist in general!

What about non-linear systems?

 $\dot{x} = f(x)$ $x \in X_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$

Closed form of the solutions do not exist in general!

- Hybridization [Puri, Borkar, Varaiya], [Asarin, Dang, Girard]
 - Finite partition of the state-space.
 - Approximate dynamics using the right hand side of the differential equation.

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2)$$

 $\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2)$





Find a rectangular approximation of f(x) in each cell

$$\dot{x}_{1} = f_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$\dot{x}_{2} = f_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$(b, d)$$

$$\dot{x}_{1} \in [l_{1}, u_{1}]$$

$$\dot{x}_{2} \in [l_{2}, u_{2}]$$

$$(a, c)$$

Find a rectangular approximation of f(x) in each cell

$$\dot{x}_{1} = f_{1}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$\dot{x}_{2} = f_{2}(x_{1}, x_{2})$$

$$(b, d)$$

$$\dot{x}_{1} \in [l_{1}, u_{1}]$$

$$\dot{x}_{2} \in [l_{2}, u_{2}]$$

$$(a, c)$$

Find a rectangular approximation of f(x) in each cell

$$\begin{aligned} Maximize f_1(x_1, x_2) \\ a \leq x_1 \leq b \\ c \leq x_2 \leq d \end{aligned}$$

$$\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2)$$

 $\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2)$





Find a linear function which interpolates f(x) at the vertices





Find a linear function which interpolates f(x) at the vertices



 $\dot{x}_1 = f_1(x_1, x_2)$ $\dot{x}_2 = f_2(x_1, x_2)$

Find a linear function which interpolates f(x) at the vertices



Bounded error approximation in a finite time interval by choosing small enough cells, for Lipschitz continuous functions

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound
- Infinite time systems

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound
- Infinite time systems
 - Hybridization

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound
- Infinite time systems
 - Hybridization
 - Predicate Abstraction [Alur et al], [Tiwari]

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound
- Infinite time systems
 - Hybridization
 - Predicate Abstraction [Alur et al], [Tiwari]
 - In general, no bound on the error

- Finite time
 - Bounded error approximation
 - Construct finer abstraction by reducing the error bound
- Infinite time systems
 - Hybridization
 - Predicate Abstraction [Alur et al], [Tiwari]
 - In general, no bound on the error
 - Refine based on a counter-example






















For every trajectory of the robot, there is a corresponding path in the abstract graph











Right abstractions hard to find!































Related Work

- Software Verification [Kurshan et al. 93], [Clarke et al. 00], [Ball et al. 02]
 - SLAM, BLAST
- Discrete CEGAR for hybrid systems [Alur et al. 03], [Clarke et al. 03]
- Hybrid CEGAR for hybrid systems [P.,Duggirala,Mitra,Viswanathan], [Dierks, Kupferschmid, Larsen])

Summary of safety verification









Summary of safety verification

Complexity of Verification $\dot{x} \in [a, b]$ Undecidable Exponential $\dot{x} =$ RECTANGULAR TIMED FSM **Complexity of Continuous Dynamics**

Summary of safety verification



Overview of stability verification

Stability

Small changes to the initial state of the system result in small changes to the behavior of the system

• The controlled behavior of the car depends gracefully on small variations to its starting orientation









Stable Equilibrium





Stable Equilibrium

Stable Equilibrium












 $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \left[(\sigma(0) \in B_{\delta}(0)) \Rightarrow \forall t(\sigma(t) \in B_{\epsilon}(0)) \right]$



 $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 \left[(\sigma(0) \in B_{\delta}(0)) \Rightarrow \forall t(\sigma(t) \in B_{\epsilon}(0)) \right]$

"Continuity of the transition relation at the origin"









$$\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$$

$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$$
$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

If a is negative, x(t) converges to 0

$$\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$$
$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

If a is negative, x(t) converges to 0 If a is positive, x(t) diverges

$$\dot{x}(t) = ax(t)$$
$$x(t) = e^{at}x(0)$$

If a is negative, x(t) converges to 0 If a is positive, x(t) diverges If a is 0, x(t) is always x(0)

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$

$$x(t) = e^{At}x(0)$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t)$$
$$x(t) = e^{At}x(0)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigen value: λ such that there exists $x \neq 0$ with $Ax = \lambda x$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigen value: λ such that there exists $x \neq 0$ with $Ax = \lambda x$

The linear system is stable if all the eigen values of A have negative real parts

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigen value: λ such that there exists $x \neq 0$ with $Ax = \lambda x$

The linear system is stable if all the eigen values of A have negative real parts

The linear system is unstable if A has at least one eigen value with positive real parts

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0Linearization $\dot{x} = Ax$

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0Linearization $\dot{x} = Ax$

A is the Jacobian of F evaluated at 0: $A[i,j] = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)$

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0Linearization $\dot{x} = Ax$

A is the Jacobian of F evaluated at 0: $A[i,j] = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)$

$$f(x) = x^2 + x$$

 $A = (2x + 1)(0) = 1$

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0Linearization $\dot{x} = Ax$

A is the Jacobian of F evaluated at 0: $A[i,j] = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)$

$$f(x) = x^{2} + x \qquad f(x) = x^{2} - x$$
$$A = (2x + 1)(0) = 1 \qquad A = (2x - 1)(0) = -1$$

Lyapunov's first method - Linearization

System $\dot{x} = F(x)$ EquilibriumF(0) = 0Linearization $\dot{x} = Ax$

A is the Jacobian of F evaluated at 0: $A[i,j] = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(0)$

$$f(x) = x^2 + x f(x) = x^2 - x$$

$$A = (2x + 1)(0) = 1 A = (2x - 1)(0) = -1$$

If A has is hyperbolic (all eigen values have non-zero real part), then stability of the original system is equivalent to the stability of the linearization.







Eigen value analysis doesn't extend to mixed discrete continuous systems

Lyapunov's Second Method

System	$\dot{x} = F(x)$
Equilibrium	F(0) = 0
Solution	arphi(x,t)

Lyapunov's Second Method

System	$\dot{x} = F(x)$
Equilibrium	F(0) = 0
Solution	arphi(x,t)

If there exists a Lyapunov function for the system,

Lyapunov's Second Method

System	$\dot{x} = F(x)$
Equilibrium	F(0) = 0
Solution	arphi(x,t)

If there exists a Lyapunov function for the system, then it is Lyapunov stable.

Lyapunov function: Illustration

Lyapunov function: Illustration


Lyapunov function: Illustration



Lyapunov function: Illustration



Lyapunov function: Illustration



Continuously differentiable

 $V: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} +$

Continuously differentiable

 $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}+$

Positive Definite

V(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0

 $V(x) \ge 0$ for all x

Continuously differentiable

 $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}+$

Positive Definite

$$V(x) = 0$$
 if and only if $x = 0$
 $V(x) \ge 0$ for all x

Value along a trajectory decreases $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}F(x)$ is negative definite $\dot{V}(x) \le 0$ for all x $\dot{V}(X) = 0$ if and only if x = 0

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}F(x) = 2x \cdot (-x)$$

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F(x) = 2x \cdot (-x)$$
$$\dot{V}(x) \le 0 \text{ for all } x$$
$$\dot{V}(x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0$$

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

Candidate Lyapunov Function

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F(x) = 2x \cdot (-x)$$
$$\dot{V}(x) \le 0 \text{ for all } x$$
$$\dot{V}(x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0$$

 A quadratic Lyapunov function exists for every stable linear system

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F(x) = 2x \cdot (-x)$$
$$\dot{V}(x) \le 0 \text{ for all } x$$
$$\dot{V}(x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0$$

- A quadratic Lyapunov function exists for every stable linear system
- It can be computed by solving a linear matrix inequality

0

System
$$\dot{x} = -x$$

$$V(x) = x^2$$

$$V(x) \ge 0$$
 for all x
 $V(x) = 0$ if and only if $x =$

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F(x) = 2x \cdot (-x)$$
$$\dot{V}(x) \le 0 \text{ for all } x$$
$$\dot{V}(x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0$$

- A quadratic Lyapunov function exists for every stable linear system
- It can be computed by solving a linear matrix inequality
- Such complete results do not exist for non-linear systems or hybrid systems (even in the linear case)

• Common Lyapunov function - a function which is a Lyapunov function for each mode of the system

- Common Lyapunov function a function which is a Lyapunov function for each mode of the system
- Multiple Lyapunov function a function for each mode along with certain conditions that need to be satisfied at the switching

- Common Lyapunov function a function which is a Lyapunov function for each mode of the system
- Multiple Lyapunov function a function for each mode along with certain conditions that need to be satisfied at the switching
- *Reference: Switching in Systems and Control Daniel Liberzon*

Continuously differentiable

 $V:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}+$

Positive Definite

V(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0 $V(x) \ge 0$ for all x

Value along a trajectory decreases $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}F(x)$ is negative definite $\dot{V}(x) \le 0$ for all x $\dot{V}(X) = 0$ if and only if x = 0



Positive Definite V(x) = 0 if and only if x = 0 $V(x) \ge 0$ for all x

Value along a trajectory decreases $\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}F(x)$ is negative definite $\dot{V}(x) \le 0$ for all x $\dot{V}(X) = 0$ if and only if x = 0



$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} F(x)$$
 is negative definite
 $\dot{V}(x) \le 0$ for all x
 $\dot{V}(X) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$



• An abstraction refinement framework for a systematic proof search

- An abstraction refinement framework for a systematic proof search
- Notions of abstraction not well-studied

- An abstraction refinement framework for a systematic proof search
- Notions of abstraction not well-studied
- Do the discrete abstraction techniques for safety work? No!

- An abstraction refinement framework for a systematic proof search
- Notions of abstraction not well-studied
- Do the discrete abstraction techniques for safety work? No!
- Modified predicate abstraction







Lyapunov stable, but not asymptotically stable







Lyapunov stable and asymptotically stable




Piecewise Constant Derivative System



Neither Lyapunov stable nor asymptotically stable









Capture information about distance to the origin along the executions



Need to capture information about distance to the origin along the executions

Wednesday, July 17, 2013



Need to capture information about distance to the origin along the executions



Need to capture information about distance to the origin along the executions





Need to capture information about distance to the origin along the executions









X





























$$\sigma = \sigma(p_{i_1}p_{i_2})\sigma(p_{i_2}p_{i_3})\cdots\sigma(p_{i_{n-1}}p_{i_n})$$



$$\sigma = \sigma(p_{i_1} p_{i_2}) \sigma(p_{i_2} p_{i_3}) \cdots \sigma(p_{i_{n-1}} p_{i_n})$$
$$w(\sigma) = \frac{d(\sigma(T))}{d(\sigma(0))}$$



$$\sigma = \sigma(p_{i_1} p_{i_2}) \sigma(p_{i_2} p_{i_3}) \cdots \sigma(p_{i_{n-1}} p_{i_n})$$
$$w(\sigma) = \frac{d(\sigma(T))}{d(\sigma(0))} = w(e_{i_1, i_2}) w(e_{i_2, i_3}) \cdots w(e_{i_{n-1}, i_n})$$



Lyapunov stable, but not asymptotically stable



Lyapunov stable, but not asymptotically stable



Lyapunov stable and asymptotically stable



Lyapunov stable and asymptotically stable



Neither Lyapunov stable nor asymptotically stable



Neither Lyapunov stable nor asymptotically stable

Stability Analysis: PCD

Theorem: (Lyapunov stability)

A Piecewise Constant Derivative System is Lyapunov stable

if

the weighted graph does not contain any cycles with the product of weights > 1

Stability Analysis: PCD

Theorem: (Lyapunov stability)

A Piecewise Constant Derivative System is Lyapunov stable

if

the weighted graph does not contain any cycles with the product of weights > 1

Theorem: (Asymptotic stability)

A Piecewise Constant Derivative System is asymptotically stable

if

the weighted graph does not contain any cycles with the product of weights >= 1

• SOSTOOLS - Sum-of-squares programming

- SOSTOOLS Sum-of-squares programming
- LMI solvers CVX

- SOSTOOLS Sum-of-squares programming
- LMI solvers CVX
- Stability Analysis: Stabhyli

Summary

- Hybrid Systems verification is challenging
 - Undecidable for simple subclasses
 - Standard techniques from the purely discrete and continuous worlds do not extend in a straightforward manner
- Model-checking & Deductive verification
- Approximation techniques
 - Safety Predicate abstraction & CEGAR, hybridization
 - Stability Linearization, Predicate abstraction
Some research directions

- Scalability
 - Efficient data structures
 - Approximation methods
 - Compositional analysis
- Applications
 - Exploiting structures
- Bridging the gap between model and implementation